Case 2:09-cv-01529-KJD-GWF Document 8 Filed 09/02/09 Page 1 of 4

3. In removals based on diversity jurisdiction, the names of any served defendants who are citizens of Nevada, the citizenship of the other parties and a summary of defendant's evidence of the amount in controversy:

Upon information and belief, complete diversity exists as plaintiff alleges that he is a resident of Nevada and defendant Wells Fargo is a national bank. For diversity jurisdiction purposes, a national bank is a citizen of the state designated as its main office on its organization certificate. Accordingly, Wells Fargo is a citizen of South Dakota – the state listed on Wells Fargo's organization certificate. Because Plaintiff is a citizen of Nevada and Defendant is not a citizen of Nevada, complete diversity of the parties exists. Furthermore, the Action satisfies the amount in controversy requirement under 28 U.S.C. § 1332. Plaintiff is claiming more than \$10,000.00 in compensatory damages and greater than \$10,000.00 in punitive damages as well as attorneys' fees. In addition, Plaintiff claims title to real property and requests a preliminary injunction to enjoin a foreclosure sale. Plaintiff's Complaint indicates the house was purchased in 2006 for \$815,000.00 and now has a market value of approximately 50% less (Complaint ¶ 5). The aggregate amount of Plaintiff's claims, therefore, satisfies the amount in controversy requirement.

4. If your notice of removal was filed more than thirty (30) days after you first received a copy of the summons and complaint, the reason removal has taken place at this time and the date you first received a paper identifying the basis for removal:

Not applicable.

5. In actions removed on the basis of this Court's jurisdiction in which the action in state court was commenced more than one year before the date of removal, the reasons this action should not summarily be remanded to the state court.

Not applicable.

///

///

///

10443414.1

6. The name(s) of any defendant(s) known to have been served before you filed the notice of removal who did not formally join in the notice of removal and the reasons they did not: Not applicable Dated: September 2, 2009 SNELL & WILMER L.L.P. By: <u>/s/Cynthia A. LeVasseur</u> Cynthia A. LeVasseur, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 6718 3883 Howard Hughes Parkway **Suite 1100** Las Vegas, Nevada 89169 Attorneys for Defendants WELLS FARGO BANK, N.A.

Case 2:09-cv-01529-KJD-GWF Document 8 Filed 09/02/09 Page 3 of 4

Case 2:09-cv-01529-KJD-GWF Document 8 Filed 09/02/09 Page 4 of 4

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, the undersigned, declare under penalty of perjury	that I am over the age	of eighteen
(18) years, and I am not a party to, nor interested in, this a	action. On this date, I	caused to be
served a true and correct copy of the foregoing DEFENDAN	T'S STATEMENT RI	EGARDING

REMOVAL by the method indicated:

X	U.S. Mail
	U.S. Certified Mail
	Facsimile Transmission
	Overnight Mail
	Federal Express
	Hand Delivery

and addressed to the following:

Jon J. Simon 1265 Vietti Street Henderson, NV 89102

DATED this 2nd day of September, 2009.

/s/ Gabriela Resendez
An Employee of Snell & Wilmer LLP

10443414.1

- 4 -